
LP vs. CP

Posted by Kevin Ferrizzi - 2008/06/17 20:55

This thread discusses the Content article: LP vs. CP

Finally a clear, definitive answer on this question. I get asked it quite often.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by Sean.Colon - 2008/06/18 14:29

This was a great article and should be read by all NJLP officers as it will make it easy to answer questions concerning the differences between the two parties.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by big eddie - 2008/06/19 00:48

Both parties support freedom. Many cp members supported ron paul. The main difference is the cp is a pro-life party.Their candadate is very close to rp in his thinking and positions.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by Jay Edgar - 2008/06/19 07:29

They are also anti personal freedoms. They want to ban gambling, they want to ban pornography. The LP starts with the principle of self ownership. The CP base principles are all based on religion. They are another variant of the moral majority.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by big eddie - 2008/06/21 23:55

I hope you are not saying that the lp is hostile toward religion or people of faith.I take my religion very serious. Ayn Rand had a very bigoted attitude toward religion.She would not allow people of faith in her inner circle.She was a very small minded bigot.I could never follow an individual such as her.I have no problem with the moral majority.The main problem comes from individuals who try to limit our religious freedom.They are tring to force their non-belief on people of faith. We have a vote and we will use it.Their are more of us then there is of them.We will fight for our religious liberties.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by Kevin Ferrizzi - 2008/06/22 09:52

The LP is not hostile towards religion or people of faith. I am hostile to people who try to impose their own beliefs on others by claiming it is their religous liberty to do so. Everyone has a right to believe whatever they want without being imposed upon by anyone else. That's the part the religious right forgets. They have the right to worship and live in whatever manner they choose. The main problem is they want to make everyone else live and worship like they do. We do not want to create a non-religous society, we want a society with TRUE religious tolerance (not just evangelical Christian tolerance), allowing people to worship (or not) as they see fit.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by big eddie - 2008/07/06 17:16

I know many evangelicals and they as a group are more tolerant than the secular groups who want to silence people of faith. There are many more attacks against Christians in this country than any other group. Even Muslims get a better hearing than evangelicals. Remember this is a Christian country based on Christian principles. Patrick Henry said, the greatest gift I could give my children is faith in Jesus Christ. God has given us the choice of rulers and it is their duty to select Christian rulers. That is a quote from John Jay, the first chief justice of the Supreme Court.

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by Jay Edgar - 2008/07/14 13:08

big eddie,

I agree with some of this.

However Patrick Henry never stated that. According to Snopes and this site it is a misquotation. Rather it is a creation of David Barton who has admitted that several quotations he has created have no primary source.

Our country's founders came from a variety of religions. Jefferson, Franklin, and Washington are most often considered Deists.

Jefferson admired and wrote about Jesus Christ, however he rejected the divinity of Jesus Christ and even produced a Bible that excised all supernatural events.

We are not solely a Christian nation. Rather we are a nation of many religions. Our tradition respects the diversity of many beliefs.

God Bless

=====

Re:LP vs. CP

Posted by big eddie - 2008/08/25 01:02

The meaning of diversity is that you are not really sure what you believe in. We may have diversity of religion now but in the beginning of the founding of our nation there was only Christianity. The Constitution was a product of the Protestant Reformation. Capitalism itself was a product of the Calvinist work ethic. In 1776 there was no talk of diversity. That was a product of modern confusionist thought.

=====