



THE NEW JERSEY LIBERTARIAN

MAY

1990

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

May 5 - Anti-drug war "Walk for Peace" in N.Y.C. Call Don Meinshausen 201-659-6786 or Mitchell Stillman 201-472-3538.

May 5 - Annual Marijuana Smoke-In at Washington Square Park, N.Y.C. Call Don Meinshausen 201-659-6786.


May 12 - NJLP State Committee Meeting at the Karlan residence in Jamesburg, 2 PM sharp! Call Dan 201-444-2846 for info.

May 28 - Somerset/Middlesex Area Libertarians (SMAL) meet at the Maloney's home, 432 Donaldson St., Highland Park. Call 201/572-1614 for directions. Topic: Discussion of Libertarian Philosophy & How it Relates to Today's Issues.

SMAL will hold regular meetings on the 4th Mon. of each month.

Mercer County Libertarians will hold meetings on the last Sunday of each month at 7 PM at *Wildflowers* restaurant, Pennington Circle, Rt. 31 at 546 near Trenton. Call Carl Peters for info. 609/737-7667 or 609/882-8432.

Nat'l Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (NORML) holds regular monthly meetings. Call 201/968-8226 for details.



NJLP STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING

By Len Flynn

The New Jersey Libertarian Steering Committee met on April 7, 1990 at Dan Karlan's parents home. The meeting was called to order at 2:15 PM. Present were Karlan, Zeldin, Stefanelli, Flynn, Edelston, and Schoen. Absent were Kaplan and Radder.

After the reading and approval of minutes for three meetings and a review of party communications, the group approved the following Standing Resolution: The NJLP recommends to the NJLP Convention Committee that they select the Saturday closest to four (4) weeks before the April petition filing deadline for the annual NJLP Convention.

The committee then rejoiced at the Treasurer's report. Membership jumped by one sixth (1/6) during March 1990 with the total NJLP balance topping \$2,600. The annual convention met its budget almost exactly, yielding a small profit not counting contributions. (Including donations led to an estimated \$800 convention profit.) The party rarely has been so healthy in both funds and membership this early in the year!

After the Secretary distributed new NJLP letterhead to the committee (one benefit of increased funds availability), Development Coordinator Sam Edelston mentioned that a fund raising mailing was about to be sent. A report from Michele Maloney was presented and the

continued on p.4

COUNTY ORGANIZATIONS

	State Committee Representatives	County Chairs	
Bergen County	Mike Harris	Mike Harris	201/891-3395
Camden/Gloucester	John Hill	John Hill	609/468-9571
Hudson County	Don Meinshausen	Don Meinshausen	201/659-6786
Hunterdon County	Joe Kerr	Joe Kerr	609/397-8119
Mercer County	Carl Peters	Carl Peters	609/737-7667
Monmouth County	Claudia Montelione		201/583-8721
Somerset/Middlesex	John Paff	Laura Stewart	201/264-4492
Sussex County		Howard Schoen	201/572-0207
Warren County	Jim Agnew	Mike Pierone	201/827-5226
		Jim Agnew	201/475-4845

NJLP STEERING COMMITTEE

State Chair:	Dan Karlan	201/444-2846
Vice-Chair:	Jerry Zeldin	609/783-4306
Secretary:	Len Flynn	201/591-1328
Treasurer:	Louis Stefanelli	201/751-8675
Members-at-Large:	Helen Radder	201/780-2086
	Sam Edelston	201/906-0766
	Ken Kaplan	201/992-3991
	Howard Schoen	201/572-0207

COUNTY ORGANIZERS

Passaic County	Ken Kaplan	201/992-3991
----------------	------------	--------------

NJLP PHONE: 201/781-6171



N.J. LIBERTARIAN

Letters to the editor, articles, and commentary are welcome. Send to the Editor:

Claudia Montelione
P.O. Box 913
Matawan, NJ 07747
201/583-8721

ADVERTISING RATES

Guaranteed Circulation: 200

Full Page	\$40
Half Page	30
Quarter Page	20
Eighth Page	12
Business Card	8
Inserts	\$25/sheet

Frequency rate: 3 mos. or more, subtract 20% from monthly rate. These rates only apply to ads submitted camera-ready. There will be a charge for any additional preparation needed. Call 201/583-8721 for add'l info.

Advertising and editorial material must be received by the editor on or before the 27th of the month to be in the following issue. We are currently on a monthly schedule.



Congressman Listens to Constituent

By Sam Edelston

In New Jersey, a third-party candidate can get on the ballot for any office with fewer than a thousand signatures.

But there are a dozen states where a third party needs to collect over 30,000 signatures in order to be listed on the ballot for a national election...including two states (Florida and Louisiana) that require more than 100,000. Because of such tough requirements, Libertarian candidates often have to spend as much money getting on the ballot as they do campaigning.


There's a bill called the Fair Elections Act (HR 1582), languishing in a Congressional subcommittee, which would put limits on what the states can require of third-parties, and bring their requirements into line with what they require of major parties.

At the end of January, I wrote a letter to my Congressman, Bernard Dwyer (D-6th District), asking him to "look into this bill, give it your support, and if possible become a co-sponsor." A few days later, I got an unexciting response.

Surprise of surprises, around March 20 I learned that Congressman Dwyer has now become a co-sponsor of the bill! Richard Winger's "Ballot Access News" says it was because of my efforts. (Congressman Payne, from Newark, is also a co-sponsor.)

At the NJLP convention, I asked people if they could make a promise to themselves, to do one thing every week to help the cause of individual liberty. This is your chance to do something easy and big.

If your Congressperson isn't one of the two HR 1582 co-sponsors from New Jersey, I urge you to write to him/her and ask him/her to support it. Call me at 201-906-0766 if you want additional details.



NEEDED: Volunteers for OPH fair in New Brunswick May 19 & 20. Please donate your time either a half or full day. Call Michele Maloney at (201)572-1614.

See listing of OPH fairs on page 11.

committee responded with unanimous approval of two motions. First, the committee approved the purchase of 4,000 pieces of National LP literature at a cost of \$200. Second, the committee voted to authorize the Operation Political Homeless (OPH) project to acquire up to 10,000 copies of the "World's Smallest Political Quiz" and spend up to \$200 with printing by Self Government Advocates to include the NJLP address and phone. Sam will discuss preferred paper colors with Michele (ivory or pale blue) before the quizzes are printed.

The group discussed how to work OPH into NJLP activity. Sam mentioned that he is working on developing an effective mechanism to respond to inquiries about the NJLP.

Dan Karlan established the "Legislative Watch Committee" as a Standing Committee per the NJLP Bylaws. Dan next announced all meetings for the next year as follows: 5/12/90 (State Committee), 6/9/90 (Steering Comm.), both at Karlan's parents at 2 PM, 7/8/90 (General meeting and picnic) at Flynn's, 8/?/90 (Steering) at location to be determined, 9/8 or 15/90 (State) at Jerry Zeldin's home, 10/13/90 (Steering) at Karlan's, 11/10/90 (General meeting and election reports) at location to be determined, 12/8/90 (Steering), 1/12/91 (State), 2/9/91 (Steering), all 3 at Karlan's at 2 PM, and 3/?/91 for the NJLP Annual Convention.

Dan then asked the Steering Committee members to volunteer to be in charge of (1) party publicity, including maintaining a media contacts list, and (2) candidate recruitment for next year's campaigns. Jerry Zeldin volunteered to serve as Candidate Development Coordinator and will ask his friend Robert Alpert about helping on

party publicity.

Dan Karlan mentioned that long time party member Ray Young is interested in advertisements for student newspapers for outreach. Ken Kaplan is looking into obtaining a professional NJLP banner. We should also seek a stencil for the NJLP logo to use on signs at demonstrations. Carpenter Will Duffy has volunteered to build a portable booth for Operation Political Homeless.

The Committee next discussed the NJLP petition drive which was progressing quite well, particularly in comparison to the severe procrastination often found in past efforts. The NJLP petitioners found overwhelming support among attendees at the March 19, 1990 Trenton Freedom Rally against the semiautomatic firearms ban. They easily gathered nearly half the needed signatures (800) for U.S. Senate candidate Lou Stefanelli at the rally!

Howard Schoen introduced a two part motion to establish an NJLP policy on funding County Committee activity. The motion was divided then tabled and will be distributed by Dan Karlan for discussion at the next State Committee meeting on May 12, 1990.

The committee then considered a motion to reimburse Howard \$200 for charges for the Somerset/Middlesex Area Libertarians booth at the upcoming county fair. The motion was amended to \$100 and add "and this is not a precedent for NJLP policy" by a 2-1 vote with three abstentions. The amended motion then passed by a 3-2 roll call vote (for:SE,JZ,DK; against:LS,LF) with one abstention (HS). A motion to pay the \$100 balance to Howard failed by a 2-2 vote. The meeting was adjourned at 5:45 PM.



Testimony

from Dan Karlan before the Election Law Enforcement Commission (ELEC) on 3/20/90.

I would like to take this occasion to thank this committee for allowing me to present my views, recommendations, and suggestions. I would also like to emphasize that any suggestions I make with respect to the future of the Election Law Enforcement Commission should in no way be taken to impugn the members of that commission; on the contrary, my dealings with the people in the ELEC have been entirely cordial and helpful.

Last year, I was the candidate for governor from the New Jersey Libertarian Party, and I was also the chairman of that organization. The Libertarian Party is the party of principle; and the principle is the supremacy of individual rights. We favor the removal of government from intrusion into the peaceful relations between individuals. We further believe that if this is done, much of the incentive -- political power -- that exists in political races would vanish. As Frank Herbert so simply improved on Lord Acton's dictum, "Power attracts the corruptible." We believe that the solution to the problem of corruption in government is not the application of additional intrusive layers of disclosures and restrictions to those seeking political office, but the reduction in the incentive in the first place. With that in mind, I have recommendations in six areas of current concern of the election laws.

1) Reporting Requirements. Members of the Libertarian Party believe it is an onerous burden, as well as an invasion of privacy and involuntary servitude, to require the strict reporting of all contributions as it is now required. This requirement falls most heavily on the independent candidates and the third parties who must appeal to individuals rather than the political action committees available to the Democratic and Republican Party candidates. Furthermore, contributors to the

campaigns of such candidates do so out of principle, and expect no *quid pro quo* as they might if they contributed to the candidacy of a Democratic or Republican Party member. The reporting requirements intimidate such individuals from contributing and thereby muzzle individuals and third-party candidates.

2) Contribution Limitations. Again, independent and third-party candidates are more heavily dependent on individual contributors. Limiting the amount such individuals can contribute only serves to short-change the treasuries of such candidates. Individuals can easily find ways around the rules if they wish to contribute many thousands of dollars; if they only wish to contribute an extra few hundred, finding those workarounds is too expensive.

The electorate
has become not
only very so-
phisticated, but
also very dis-
gusted...

3) Spending Limitations. The electorate has become not only very sophisticated, but also very disgusted and discouraged. They recognize attempts to buy an election and, in fact, rebel not only by voting for the independents and third-party candidates as protest, but also by not voting at all. Limitations on spending only serve to make it harder to distinguish between the Democratic and Republican candidates.

4) Public Financing. This is just another welfare system, only with this scheme, the beneficiaries are the Democratic and Republican parties which are saved the effort of scrounging for additional contributions the way independents and third-party candidates must. With public financing, not only must the citizens of New Jersey be abused by their elected officials, they must pay for

the privilege.

Furthermore, public financing of *primary* campaigns in effect uses public money for private use, prohibited by the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution. Third parties must choose their candidates in privately-funded conventions. Public financing of primaries only helps the Democratic and Republican parties -- something which only some people in New Jersey want to do.

5) Anonymous Contributions. A person who wishes to remain unidentified, for whatever reason, is in effect deprived of his right to donate his money to the candidate of his choice. Such contributions become the property of the state treasury, without such individual's consent; this amounts to a violation of the 5th and 14th Amendments' prohibitions on depriving a person of property without due process. It further has the effect only of suppressing contributions to candidates based on principle rather than greed: ALL contributions to third-party and independent candidates are unconditional gifts, made because the

...the decline in election participation is due to the decline in quality of candidates...

donor believes in the candidacy of the individual. Anonymous contributions are not made with the expectation of a *quid pro quo* after election time, and therefore should, if anything, be *encouraged*, not discouraged. Not allowing anonymous contributions hurts only the independent and third-party candidacies, not those of the Democratic and Republican Parties.

6) Bi-Partisan Privilege. The only thing which the Democratic and Republican Parties can be depended on for consistent agreement is the systematic suppression and exclusion of competition, especially from third parties. In the United States it has not always been so. Until the 20th century,

third parties flourished, and the populace was well served by a wide array of choices. All of the restrictions imposed on candidates for public office selectively apply to the least able to bear the burden -- the independents and third parties. This is *not the American way*.

With those evaluations of the effects of the current system of conducting political races, I -- as the candidate who came in third last year, and as a principled Libertarian -- suggest the following sweeping changes in laws concerning political campaigns:

- 1) Abolish all reporting, contribution, and spending limitations.
- 2) Abolish Public financing of all campaigns -- primaries as well as general elections.

I realize these are radical proposals and appreciate that in the current political climate they are unlikely to be considered. The Libertarian Party expects to grow, and to continue to participate in the electoral process, winning converts not by out-spending the opposition but by offering the principles alternative that the people seek and letting the public make their educated decisions. We believe that the decline in election participation is due to the decline in quality of candidates offered to the public and only a massive re-thinking of the relation between government and governed will alter that tendency. The Libertarian Party is part of that process of re-thinking and is winning converts on that basis.

However, as participants in the political process, we also are aware that some of our ideas are too radical for consideration at this time. In the spirit of non-partisan good will, anticipating the time when elected Libertarians will still have to cooperate with elected Democrats and Republicans, I would like to suggest a few changes. These are compromises only in the sense of not being everything we would like -- they are not compromises in the sense of being acceptable alternatives to my

more radical proposals above.

1) Reduce the reporting requirements to only the financial condition of the candidate, not of contributors. If a candidate has a conflict-of-interest on the basis of property holdings, etc., the public should be aware of that and be able to make a decision informed by those facts, but conflicts of interest made possible by contributions should only become an issue if the candidate is elected and is faced with an issue as an elected official. Existing ethical rules should adequately cover those occasions.

2) Make the maximum an individual can contribute be a particular fraction of the total raised by a candidate. Dollar limits do not inhibit the races of Democrats and Republicans, because there are many more of them than there are supporters of independent and third-party candidates.


3) Eliminate the spending limitations. If a particular candidate raises more than he is allowed to spend, the excess merely is used as a war chest for the next race, but the limit does not seriously assist his opponent in the election being limited. Let the candidates spend as much as they want. Libertarians believe the public resent attempts to buy a race by massive campaign advertising. When Democrats and Republicans realize they have been treating the electorate as dumb children, and that the people *know* this and don't like it, the entire political climate will improve markedly.

4) I favor the elimination of public financing, but I also am aware that this might not be the general sentiment in the state. As a compromise then, I suggest the following two-tier system: candidates who do accept public financing *must* answer the public's questions in a series of at least 3 genuine debates. Candidates who save the taxpayers money, and do *not* accept public financing, may be allowed to participate in these debates if they have reached a particular level of financing that is much *lower* than it is

currently. The current minimum is \$150,000 whether the candidate has accepted public financing or not. I propose *reducing* the minimum for non-publicly-financed candidates to something on the order of \$10,000 or \$15,000, or perhaps even lower. Perhaps just qualifying for the ballot should be sufficient.

5) Allow anonymous contributions with no limitation on amounts. A candidate who accepts anonymous contributions is at no risk of a conflict-of-interest because the donor cannot request a *quid pro quo*. The only effect of disallowing anonymous contributions is to inhibit the fund-raising ability of independent and third-party candidates. This bullying of the underdogs by the Democratic and Republican Parties should be ended. IT IS UN-AMERICAN.

Dan Karlan
Chairman, New Jersey Libertarian Party
Candidate for Governor, 1989



- Warehouses
- Factories
- Offices

KENNETH KAPLAN
VICE PRESIDENT

Office 201 • 672 • 5500 Evening 201 • 992 • 3991

7 Glenwood Avenue, East Orange, New Jersey 07017

Member Don Hinkle lays it on the line to Sen. Bradley. The same letter went to Rep. Jim Courter.

D o n a l d
H i n k l e

pob 205, Green Village, NJ 07935-0205
201-377-2176

April 23, 1990 16:17:19

Dear Senator Bradley,

As a writer, I am urged by several organizations to solicit you to refund the National Endowment for the Arts without restrictions as to subject matter. However, as a citizen, I cannot agree with the position of these pressure groups.

Of course, it is wrong for bureaucrats to try to decide which artists to support or not. But the solution to this political problem is not to restrict their power. To me, it is wrong for the government to be involved in any way with subsidizing the arts. Rather than encouraging fine art, subsidies produce more politics--some artists (those who belong to the controlling clique) get subsidies while others are ignored (because they don't belong).

End government subsidies to the NEA. Completely cut it off. Once it may have had a reason for existence, but now it has created a generation of hangers-on, who live off the government and teach others how to do so. To pretend that this is "free art" is lazy, greedy hypocrisy.

I look forward to watching you in action on this issue.

Sincerely,



Don Hinkle

This letter was sent to the Editor of The Record in response to a letter by Al Uddgren which had been previously printed. Following Dan's original letter is that newspaper's edited version of Dan's letter.

To The Editor, The Record:

The idea, endorsed by The Record, of having per-bag garbage fees has been tried before -- and worked. In Seattle, a test program resulted in a reduction in garbage tonnage -- the ultimate beneficial goal -- by encouraging households to reduce their waste. It is definitely worth trying here.

But Al Uddgren raises an interesting point, in his recent letter. As I noted during my

continued on p.9

Per-bag fees are plain silly

Editor, The Record:

The idea of per-bag garbage fee for garbage is silly. Using the same reasoning as The Record editorial, people without children would not pay any school taxes. The more children you have, the more taxes.

AL UDDGREN
South Hakensack

The following letter -- from an impressive source -- appeared in the 1/29/90 edition of the Star Ledger. The same letter was printed in The Record on 2/20/90.

Legalized drugs may be the answer

DEAR EDITOR:

I have been reading many articles lately about legalizing drugs. It occurs to me that those who are opposed to legalizations are simply reacting to the idea of legalizing drugs and are failing to consider the merits of such a bold move.

As a police officer for almost 35 years, you would think I would be the last person in the world to endorse legalizing drugs. But actually, I am in favor of legalization. I have seen first-hand evidence that our drug laws are not working. We are not making inroads into curtailing drug abuse. Drugs are getting more powerful, drug profits are greater than ever and city streets are a battle zone.

We are wasting billions of dollars of public money to incarcerate people who sell drugs and the poor wretches that use drugs. This so-called "war" on drugs is the only war I have seen where we punish the tragic victims.

The public doesn't realize it, but politicians, prosecutors and police officials are using the drug hysteria in this country to get more public money to build up their reputations and to solidify their political power. Deep down they know they can't win the war on drugs. But they will continue to spend the public's money.

Like Mr. Longchamp, I too am persuaded by Professor Ethan Nadelmann and others who point out that we will do more to combat drugs with a reasonable legalization policy than with our current approach.

Rather than waste billions of dollars on stings and undercover operations, (not to mention building more jails and hiring more police and prosecutors) we should redirect our efforts to creating alternatives for the poor and desperate people in our country.

Older cities, such as Passaic, are prime targets for drug dealers because life there is so bleak and unforgiving. Good jobs are scarce and crime is rampant. Drug gangs fight for control of territory and honest, law-abiding people are needlessly caught in the middle of drug wars which wouldn't occur if drugs were legal.

Through legalization we could take some of the billions away from law enforcement and apply it to drug rehabilitation clinics, better education for our urban children, and jobs. Our current drug laws help create lots of wealth and jobs for drug dealers and lawyers, but they don't do a damn thing to help young people find alternatives to drugs.

Legalization should be seriously considered and debated. We should consider free drug clinics, which I believe will significantly reduce the robberies and muggings on our streets. Naturally, we will want to continue to protect our children and warn them against drugs. But there is little our society can do to stop adults who are bent on self-destruction.

Other countries have successfully tried legalization. They have reduced addiction and lowered crime. It's about time that America pulled out of its frontal assault against drugs and tried another approach.

Joseph R. Russo,
Deputy Police Chief,
Passaic

campaign for Congress in 1988, I pay for the local schools even though I have no children. Because I pay for them, the schools are accountable to me, even though I have no stake in their performance. I pay, but get none of the benefits (I have no plans to move). The inappropriate accountability is one of the main reasons for many schools' poor performance.

If I don't use the services of a restaurant, I'm not charged for their food. In this way, the free market keeps enterprises accountable to their customers, and companies are not rewarded for poor performance.

Come to think of it, the people in Eastern Europe are enthusiastically disposing of a system which rewards inefficiency and discourages productivity precisely because there is no linkage between how much is paid and how good a job is done. Maybe it's time we in the United States tried the free market too.

Paying for what one uses and not being charged for what one doesn't use: what a novel concept! Maybe it just seems new because it's been so long since it was suggested as a way to solve our problems. Sometimes the best solution is the simplest one.

Dan Karlan
Waldwick, 07463
Chairman, New Jersey Libertarian Party



Per-bag fees for trash disposal

Editor, The Record:

The idea, endorsed by The Record, of having per-bag garbage fees has been tried before — and worked. In Seattle, a test program resulted in a reduction in garbage tonnage — the ultimate beneficial goal — by encouraging households to reduce their waste. It is definitely worth trying here.

Paying for what one uses and not being charged for what one doesn't use: what a novel concept. Maybe it just seems new because it's been so long since it was suggested as a way to solve our problems. Sometimes the best solution is the simplest one.

DAN KARLAN
Waldwick

*The writer is chairman of the
Libertarian Party of New Jersey.*

NEW JERSEY LIBERTARIAN PARTY MEMBERSHIP FORM

Name: _____ Phone: (H or B) _____

Address: _____ County: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

NJLP Bylaws require that members either live or work in New Jersey.

NJLP DUES:

All memberships except Household include subscription to "New Jersey Libertarian". Premium membership categories provide needed funds for outreach and other educational activities.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$300 Lifetime Sustaining | <input type="checkbox"/> \$35 Supporting |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$200 Lifetime | <input type="checkbox"/> \$15 Basic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100 Patron | <input type="checkbox"/> \$ 5 Student (School: _____) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$ 50 Sustaining | <input type="checkbox"/> \$ 5 Household* |

* For other members in the same household as a primary member. Applies to all categories except student. No newsletter.

- ☐ \$15 "N.J. Libertarian" subscription only. ☐ \$15 Nat'l Party dues (1 year)
- ☐ Please remove my name if and when the NJLP list is rented to other organizations.
- ☐ \$ _____ Additional contribution to NJLP (Thank you!)

"I hereby certify that I do not believe in or advocate the initiation of force as a means of achieving political or social goals."

X _____ Date: _____
(Signature indicating acceptance of above statement is required for membership.)

Make checks payable and return to: NJLP, P.O. Box 56, Tennent, NJ 07763

An effective way of getting the libertarian viewpoint across is to write letters to newspapers and other publications.

One of our members sounds off...

Monday, February 12, 1990

Burlington County Times

Best way to save money: Bring troops home

It is gratifying to see that President Bush has proposed bringing the troops home from Europe. To quote Rep. Pat Williams, "Events in Eastern Europe are pulling the Pentagon and the president toward decisions they wouldn't otherwise make." The Libertarian Party has been advocating the same for more than 10 years.

But let's not forget that the U.S. has troops stationed all over the world to combat a Soviet menace that is no long-

er viable, thanks to the economic destructiveness of communism and the fact that individual liberty provides for a happier and more progressive existence.

Let's start bringing home the troops in Korea, Japan, the Philippines, Panama, and everywhere else they are stationed. Korea is a prosperous country, fully capable of defending itself. They do not need our 43,000 troops. Japan is

even more prosperous, and a recent Business Week/Harris poll showed that only 31 percent of Japanese think that they should rely on the U.S. for our current support of their defense.

As with Europe, these troops cost the taxpayers billions. There are other, more productive uses for this money.

Marilynn Pearson
Burlington Township

VOLUNTEERS NEEDED TO WO/MAN BOOTHS!

Operation Political Homeless

1990 FAIR SCHEDULE

April 21 - Princeton Homeschoolers
Conference and Book Fair

April 21 & 22 - West Windsor
Trenton Computer Festival

*July 9-14
Cumberland County Fair

*July 17-21
Burlington County Fair

*July 17-21
Ocean County Fair

*July 19-21
Cape May County Fair

*July 25-29
Monmouth County Fair

*July 26-28
Gloucester County Fair

*July 27-29
Mercer County Fair

*July 27-29
Morris County Fair

*July 29
Union County Fair

*August 2-4
Camden County Fair

*August 3-12
N.J. State Fair

*August 2-4
Passaic County Fair

*August 4-12
Sussex County Fair

August 7-11
Middlesex County Fair

*August 8-11
Salem County Fair

*August 14-18
Warren County Fair

*August 4-12
Somerset County Fair

*August 9-11
Atlantic County Fair

*August 28-Sept.3
Flemington Agricultural Fair


**Oct.7- New Brunswick
Octoberfest

**Oct.13- Metuchen
Metuchen Country Fair

* Pending information

** We were in this last year; expect to be in this year

Call Michele Maloney (201/572-1614) if you can donate time or want to see O.P.H. in action.

**EXPERT
FULL SERVICE
COMMUNITY
MANAGEMENT...
WITH A HEART**

**NEW AGE
MANAGEMENT**
P.O. BOX 620
AUGUSTA, N.J., 07822
201-579-5666

COMPLETE
BUILDER SERVICES
TRANSITION PROBLEMS
NJDCA SUBMISSIONS

STATEWIDE
SERVICE

IS ABORTION AGGRESSION?

Libertarian arguments against abortion and for
parental obligation. Literature packet, \$3. (For
information only, please send SASE.)

Libertarians for Life

13424 Hathaway Drive, #18
Wheaton, MD 20906, 301/460-4141
Doris Gordon, National Coordinator

Gary Demsey, the editor of a libertarian
newspaper on Rutgers University
campus is interested in receiving
articles written in a libertarian vein.
Contact him at (201)846-6995.

5 NEW IDEAS . . .

- | | YES | NO |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. I don't want the government's hands in my pockets | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. I don't want my hands in government's pocket either | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. I don't like government's mismanagement of taxpayer's affairs in Crown corporations and many other agencies such as marketing boards and the Post Office | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. I want to see limits on government spending | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. I want a government that lets me go about my life the way I want to lead it | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

*If you answered **YES** to any of them, then **YOU ARE A CLOSET ...***

LIBERTARIAN



New Jersey Libertarian Party
P.O. Box 56
Tennent, NJ 07763

Non-Profit Org. U. S. Postage PAID Permit No. 5 TENNETT, N. J.
--

VOTE LIBERTARIAN