



NEW JERSEY LIBERTARIAN

NEW JERSEY LIBERTARIAN PARTY P.O. BOX 56 TENNENT NJ 07063

* * * MAY 1985 * * *

NJLP SUPPER CLUB # # #

LP Natcom member Della Scott will be speaking on "Montana LP Successes & Failures" June 1st at 7:00 PM at Pumptown Corners, 222 Plainfield Rd., Edison (between Metuchen and Plainfield), as part of the NJLP Supper Club.

You are invited to take this opportunity to hear all about the party which has been scoring in the 5% range in recent STATEWIDE elections (timely because of our own gubernatorial race), and which has opened lines of communication with the American Indian Movement (AIM).

Enjoy a fine dinner in a 150-year-old inn, with your choice of Broiled or Fried Fisherman's Platter (includes Shrimp, Scallops, Flounder Fillet and Stuffed Clam). Specify Broiled or Fried and send your check or money order (payable to NJ Libertarian Party) for \$19 per person to Bill George, Box 298, Fords, NJ 08863. Advance orders must be postmarked NO LATER THAN MAY 25th. A limited number of spaces may be available for at-the-door sales at higher prices. For directions call Bill at 738-1599.

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

May 20-- NJLP Steering Committee meeting at 6:00 PM at Patty's Restaurant in New Brunswick. All NJLP members are invited to party meetings, so come on over and get in on the action. Call Rick at 201/249-7649 for info or directions.

June 1-- NJLP Supper Club with Della Scott, LP NATCOM member, at 7:00 PM at Pumptown Corners, 222 Plainfield Rd., Edison (between Metuchen and Plainfield). Title: "Montana LP Successes and Failures." Contact Bill George (201/738-1599) for information and see article.



DELLA SCOTT

August 14-18-- National Libertarian Party Convention in Phoenix, Arizona.

Every Monday-- Libertarian Discussion Club, 6 PM, Patti's Restaurant, 37 Bartlett St., New Brunswick. For info and directions call 201/751-2824 (Danny) or 249-7649 (Rick).

Every Monday-- Free public meeting of NJ Americans for Constitutional Taxation (ACT); 7 PM, Queen Diner, Route 18, East Brunswick.

Every Tuesday-- NJ ACT free public meeting, 7 PM, Moffa's Farm Restaurant, Almonesson Rd., Blenheim. Call 609/HAD-ENUF for info.

Every Wednesday-- Activist and campaign strategy meeting at Richard Duprey's home. Everyone welcome. For directions or info, call Rich at 201/445-6098.

MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR

by Dan Maiullo

Currently, there is a proposal floating around the state of New York called the ICONN-Erie proposal. The idea is to enlarge the Erie Canal and use all the fill that would be removed to create an island off the New York-New Jersey shore. Coal would be shipped down the canal and burned in electric generating plants on the island. The resulting pollution would float out over the Atlantic Ocean.

Of course, this is an oversimplification of the plan. However, it is proposed that the government of New York undertake this project. The first step is a ten million dollar feasibility study. Recently I exchanged correspondence with Fred Cookinham, the Vice-Chair of the Free Libertarian Party of New York who endorsed this proposal. The following is selected parts of my reply.

Dear Mr. Cookinham:

Our political enemies are not the communists or the fascists. They are the people who clamor for government to go out and confiscate property and use it to further their own special interests. As far as I can see, there is only one position that the FLP can take on this issue and still remain a libertarian organization. That is, sell the canal.

I found the most disturbing element to be the collectivist language in your press release. "OUR greatest natural resource," "OUR waterways." Remember, Iowa does not grow corn, farmers grow corn. Texas does not drill for oil, oilmen drill for oil. New York does not dig canals, people dig canals. The question is, will those people be toiling for the state, to be paid in money stolen from them in taxes, or will they work for themselves?

I could go on but I trust I have made my point. Please reconsider your position on this issue. Perhaps the ICONN-Erie proposal is the best thing to come along since sliced bread, but there is no jus-

tification for supporting it with tax dollars. The double-talk about saving tax money by spending tax money is pure cow chips.

In liberty,
Dan Maiullo

Dear Mr. Maiullo:

My apologies.

Having discussed the ICONN-Erie proposal with other Libertarians, I decided that I was a bit hasty: the draft resolution that I wrote was one in which I indulged my own enthusiasm for the project too much....

I should explain my use of collective pronouns, too. I am no collectivist. When I said "our waterways" I meant those of us who live near the waterways and whose local economy is affected by the use, misuse, or no-use of them, and, as you can see from context, I was arguing for privatization in that sentence.

Well, I am learning something from all this, at least. In the future, I'll be more careful....

Fred Cookinham

* * * WANTED: CRASH SPACE * * *

Della Scott, LP Natcom member and June 1st NJLP Supper Club speaker, needs a place to stay during her visit to New Jersey--May 27th to June 5th. She says she doesn't take much room and doesn't need chauffering around--just a place to sleep. If you can help Della out please call Bill George at 201/738-1599.

TV TIME

NJLP State Committee member Don Meinshausen appeared on Channel 7 (ABC) 6:00 PM news May 9th. He was asked to comment on the relief effort to send 1.5 million t-shirts to Ethiopia. Don stated that it was more desirable for "people to help people than for governments to help governments."

NJLP STATE COMMITTEE MEETING

By Len Flynn

The NJLP State Committee met on April 13, 1985 at the home of Rick Hoegberg in New Brunswick. Present were Maiullo, Friedlander, Hoegberg, Flynn, Swirsky, and White (also for Meinhausen). Absent were Kaplan, Duprey, Zelden, Meinshausen, Kerr, Fallon, and Polombo.

The business of the meeting opened with the consideration and unanimous approval of Wally Gluck as the NJLP's candidate for Assembly in District #10. Steve Friedlander is Coordinator for the statewide petitioning effort and will also assure the submission of petitions.

The committee then voted to allocate up to \$200 to stockpile libertarian literature for educational use. Steve Friedlander will implement the effort and was given the discretion to select appropriate pieces to purchase. Examples of the suggested items include national LP and Society for Individual Liberty brochures, the Burris' book Liberty Primer, Jim Lewis' Liberty' Reclaimed, etc.

At this point in the meeting the committee members elected unanimously to recess in order to FIGHT STATIST OPPRESSION and rescue Christie Brazil from the hands of the Rutgers University police. The guardians of Lawn Order were fighting crime by citing her for the dastardly act of allowing her trusty dog Titan to RUN LOOSE. (If you know Titan, you know if he wants to run loose he runs loose period.) The arrival of the freedom contingent (packed 9 in the car) surely saved Christie from police brutality at the hands of the THREE (tough criminals these loose dog owners) policemen at the scene. Fortunately for the state's officers Titan wasn't hungry for fresh statist meat. (It would probably give him indigestion anyway.)

The meeting resumed with a report from Danny Maiullo that the NJLP was allowed 17 delegates at the Phoenix convention this summer. Flyers for National Tax Protest Day were distributed (see enclosure),

then the fired-up NJLP members adjourned at 5:30 to carry the fight for liberty back throughout the state. The next meeting will be a Steering Committee meeting to be held on Monday night May 20 in conjunction with the weekly discussion group at Patti's Restaurant in New Brunswick.

Will we see YOU there?

HEROES OF THE REVOLUTION

By Len Flynn

A continuing series dedicated to the unsung heroes of the Libertarian Revolution, people whose daily efforts swell the ranks of libertarians everywhere and assure the ultimate victory of our noble cause.

PART VI--"JAUNDICED JUSTICE"

When the United States was founded, the citizens and their enlightened leaders like Jefferson and Washington were well aware of the evils of royalty. They designed a Constitutional republic to constrain government and protect the liberties of the people. But in the two centuries of America's existence protection has dwindled and statism has grown. How has this happened?

Our forefathers forgot to purge royalty from one place: the court system. Modern day judges are kings and princes of the courtroom, and woe to those who do not humble themselves before their imperial robes! Great peril awaits anyone who does not grovel and fawn to "his honor" the judicial dictator.

Supreme Court Chief Justice Marshall may have said "the power to tax involves the power to destroy" but the principle of judicial review, solidified during his reign, created the means for the courts to destroy liberty by "interpreting" it out of existence.

According to Constitutional lawyer Mark Holzer the primary function of the modern day Supreme Court is not to protect individual

See HEROES...Pg. 4

HEROES...

rights but to AFFIRM the actions of government. (See his excellent book "Sweet Land of Liberty?: The Supreme Court and Individual Rights" for details.)

Yet many Americans naively believe the court system upholds their liberties against state incursions. This mistaken view allows and encourages government excesses to grow because it provides "the sanction of the victim" (Ayn Rand) through the complicity of the citizenry with these crimes of their rulers.

But there is hope because each person has a special opportunity to witness the malfeasance of government up close and at its root, through "jury duty." The crimes and oppressions of the courts would be largely unknown except for the conscription of otherwise apathetic people by the state to fill the jury boxes. Now the individual must confront the imperial judges and see their arrogance first hand. It is the judge who screens prospective jurors and weeds out those who show any intellect or independence. The judge's overriding question is "will you take the law as I give it to you?" and only those obediently saying "yes" stay in the room.

The rules of the court are insulting and offensive. Jurors cannot ask questions of a witness without the almighty judge's approval and permission. The rules for jurors prevent visiting the scene of a crime without the court's permission. A juror is prohibited from taking any notes during the trial, no matter how long and involved the proceedings. Evidence is denied the jury if the judge says so, and of course jurors are forbidden to read anything about the trial. Contentions as to the law are frequently heard out of earshot of the jury as jurors are hustled out of the room like little children.

Needless to say the Constitution is banished from the jurors' ears. Let the judge hear that a juror will apply the clear, written word

of the Constitution to his deliberation and OUT goes that juror! In order for moral, righteous and enlightened people to get on a jury they must commit perjury to take the law (i.e. the Constitution as it is rather than as the black-robed tyrant "interprets" it!)

Our imperial courts have enlightened both liberals and conservatives. The super-liberal ACLU recently described the Supreme Court as "statist" and a cheerleader for the government" (CIVIL LIBERTIES, Summer 1984, pg. 12.). And conservative cries for "law and order" die down when the law becomes "the law as I give it to you" from a judge. The courts repudiate the Constitution and uphold statism without regard for left/right views: both Morton Grove handgun bans and beatings of children in the public schools get the approval of the Supreme Court.

So the libertarian cry to return "just" to justice can and will be heard. We demand a REAL jury system, which judges both the law and the facts, and we stand for strict respect for individual rights. And we can give thanks to the imperial judiciary -- and its jaundiced justice!

PART VII-"POLITICAL SEWAGE" next.

EDITORIAL

On April 24th Ed Crane, former National LP Chairman and Campaign Manager for Ed Clark's Presidential campaign in 1980, spoke at the Laissez Faire Supper Club in New York City. His topic "The Libertarian Party: Is There Life After Death?" inspired this editorial.

As far as Ed Crane is concerned the Libertarian Party IS dead. His defense of this position is what he calls lack of growth in the party. And his definition of growth seems to be vote totals that increase in number with each election year. Naturally the next step is having a few more Libertarians elected each year.

See EDITORIAL...Pg. 5

EDITORIAL...

This point of view is not unknown among Libertarians and some, including Crane, feel that this type of political growth is necessary to keep people working for freedom. But what about those of us for whom higher vote totals and winning elections are not incentives--in fact, may not even be deemed desirable goals? There are certainly many small "l" libertarians who feel this way (e.g., the Voluntaryists) and also some of us still within the structure of the Libertarian Party itself.

Perhaps more votes and more successful campaigns with a few Libertarian elected officials scattered around the country is really a slow seduction to join the system. Becoming the recognized third party and creating a true three party system may misdirect the LP toward becoming a part of the statist system. It's not easy to resist the power that a true third party would hold. Isn't that power the very antithesis of libertarianism? Something to ponder.

The fight to preserve our freedom will not be won at the ballot box. It will stand or fall in the hearts and minds of individuals. The point is to help people understand the importance of liberty--an understanding that was once widespread but has been lost through ever increasing statism. That is the importance of the Libertarian Party as an institution. To act as a gathering point, a funnel, a means of reaching out to individuals to teach them about liberty.

True, many former LP members have "dropped out" and are no longer on the Party rolls. But, does this mean that they are no longer libertarians? NO! Ed Crane is president of the Cato Institute in Washington, DC, and is probably doing more now to promote and spread libertarian ideas than ever before. Many former NJLP members have gone on to other libertarian organizations or perhaps have decided they are just not joiners. None of this means that these

people are no longer libertarians, or that they are not out there promoting freedom to anyone who will listen.

The Libertarian Party as an organization is important because most of the people out there espousing liberty learned about it in the LP. It was the LP which first attracted their attention. And it was through going to meetings, running for office, helping out on campaigns, and just socializing with other libertarians that their ideas about freedom crystallized and they became better equipped to spread the word about liberty.

The Libertarian Party is not dead--it is right here in New Jersey and in other states doing what it does best--bringing people into the movement and sending them out when they are ready to move on.

The only effective defense is to legalize narcotics

We are angry at the Mexican officials who ho-hummed their way through an investigation of the torture and killing of a U.S. drug agent who did what in Mexico is intolerable, namely stand in the way of graft.

William Buckley

Universal Press Syndicate

It is true that a few years ago the government of Mexico cooperated in a program designed to spray the marijuana crop, but it proved temporary. If for a season the marijuana crop from Mexico declines, then marijuana from elsewhere -- Hawaii, for instance -- will increase. If there is less marijuana being smoked today than 10 years ago, it is a reflection not of law enforcement but of creeping social perception. It has gradually transpired that the stuff is more harmful than originally thought, and a culture that spends billions of dollars on health foods and barbells is taking a longer, critical look at marijuana.

In a vivid image, someone said that the big radars along the 2,000-mile border between Mexico and the United States begin, night after night, to track what looks like a swarm of locusts headed our way. Private planes, carrying coke to America.

And of course the measure of success in the drug business is, what are your chances of getting through? Answer: terrific. The odds will always be high, when you consider that the amount of coke you can stuff into a single pocket of a man's jacket can fetch \$200,000, and that the cost of the stuff where picked up can be as low as \$1,000. A profit of 2,000 percent (modest in the business) is a powerful engine to attempt to stop in a free society. In other societies the answer is as simple as executing anybody

caught smuggling or using dope, exit the dope problem.

No, we are face to face with the rawest datum of all, which is that the problem would not exist, except that in the United States there is a market for the stuff, and that the stuff is priced very high. If we cannot effectively prevent its insinuating its way into the country, what is it that we can prevent? The answer is its price. The one thing that could be done, overnight, is to legalize the stuff.

It is hardly a novel suggestion to legalize dope. Shrewd observers of the scene have recommended it for years. I am on record as having opposed it in the matter of heroin. The accumulated evidence draws me away from my own opposition, on the purely empirical grounds that what we have now is a drug problem plus a crime problem plus a problem of a huge export of capital to the dope-producing countries.

Congress should study the alternative, which is legalization followed by a dramatic educational effort in which the services of all civic-minded resources are mobilized.

Ours is a free society in which people kill themselves with tobacco and booze. Some will do so with coke and heroin. But we could save lives by having the deadly stuff available at the same price as rat poison.

Proposal to legalize narcotics attracting wide public support



WILLIAM RASPBERRY

I was, to be frank about it, waiting for the outraged reaction. I had, after all, written a column in which I presented (and implicitly supported) the views of a man who wants to legalize narcotics.

Brant Coopersmith, whose views were the subject of that column, wasn't proposing legalization as a matter of a person's right to do what he will with his own body. His concern was not with addicts at all, but with the rest of us.

The illegality of narcotics makes them expensive and forces addicts to turn to crime in order to support their habits. Laws designed to keep the drugs out of the hands of the few of us who are users have the perverse effect of imprisoning the rest of us. Legalize the stuff, thereby making it cheap, and the rest of us can feel free to walk the streets again.

I'm still waiting for the outrage. What I have been getting, from all over the country, from all kinds of people, is: Right on!

Legalization, says a St. Louis physician, would "reduce crime, if not the number of users, and make life much safer and serene" for the rest of us.

"The only result of making drugs illegal," notes the president of a Dallas energy firm, "is to make drug dealers rich. Maybe if you keep telling your story, someone will finally come around to this way of thinking in Congress, although I doubt it."

Then there was this one, from a California inmate: "I wholeheartedly agree about legalizing narcotics. I figure that at least half of all crimes are drug-related. And the reason for my belief is that I've been doing crimes for 20 years or more, and everyone I know has been doing the same. I've been to prison four times, and I'm 38 years old, and prison has done nothing to me except keep me off the streets. If heroin were legal, I would have no reason to steal."

So far, the only strong opposition I've heard (though a number of readers offered a variety of caveats) came from a Washington woman whose 17-year-old son had run away from a drug treatment center the day before the column appeared. She was convinced that Coopersmith's proposal would result in the death of people like her son.

I'm not, though a number of readers offered cautions that make sense to me. One reader wonders whether it might not be a good idea to make some distinctions among heavy drugs. Heroin

addiction, the drug of choice of inner-city addicts, is most likely to be supported by crime. But he isn't sure that legalizing cocaine, favored by addicts with money, would substantially reduce the number of robberies and burglaries.

A number of people fear that legalization would vastly increase the number of users. As for Coopersmith's notion that legalization would remove the incentive for the international marketing of narcotics, by making them far less expensive, one reader points to the popularity among youngsters of the cheap and dangerous PCP. But Coopersmith's concern is the safety of the non-users, and there is no indication that PCP users are particularly dangerous to anyone except themselves.

A New Jersey clinical psychologist makes a different kind of point:

"We put up signs by contaminated wells or springs: 'Drink at your own risk.' We don't put armed guards at each well, or make laws against drinking polluted water, but anybody in his right mind won't willingly poison himself, no matter how thirsty he is. A society wise enough to permit freedom while consistently and persistently educating its citizens as to what is reasonable use would be wise enough to attack the poverty and inequality that underlies life's bitterness for so many who fall victim to the lure of the 'panacea.'"

"When I see such things as the banning of advertising of addictive substances and practices, effective penalties for drunken driving, desubsidization of tobacco, delegalization of smoking on TV and in the movies, and, particularly, early and effective education for school children and government-sponsored advertising against these substances and practices — as extensive as what we now have for them — then I'll be more sanguine about the consequences of legalizing yet another dangerous substance."

□ William Raspberry is a Washington Post writer whose nationally syndicated column appears twice weekly.

RADICAL STRATEGY by Tom Palven

Hallelujah. William Raspberry, black syndicated columnist with an open-minded classical liberal bent, came out in favor of decriminalizing all drugs several weeks ago. Now Buckley has come out with the above article. Those libertarian candidates who were on the cutting edge of this issue can rightly claim credit for these developments. They made the case so often that Raspberry and Buckley can state their views without sounding radical.

On the other hand, those libertarians who advocated a one percent decrease in the N.J. sales tax (remember the "one percent for freedom" strategy?) have accomplished nothing. Gov. Kean promised to reduce the sales tax one percent in his campaign and raised it one percent instead, part of it to fund new drug programs. I thus advocate a very radical educational campaign strategy.

The New Jersey Constitution, 1947, begins, Article 1, line one, "All persons are by nature free and independent..." This is as much of a joke as the U.S. Constitution. Among many recent "unconstitutional" decisions by the U.S. Supreme Court, it just cleared the way for the city of Baltimore to possibly acquire an existing privately held football team by eminent domain and to force the taxpayers to finance it. Here in Monmouth County bond proposals would permit unfriendly takeovers of a large tract of land for a park and a privately held airport at taxpayers expense.

The word "radical" comes from "rad" or root, as in radish. A radical strategy should not waste its energy fighting each and every tax increase and spending proposal, but should go to the root of the problem. The root of the problem, in my opinion, is the widely held belief that laws, the systematic use of force by the state, efficiently provides benefits that the private sector can or will not. Recent statements by Voluntaryist George Smith regarding strategy, and libertarian Andre Spies of Belgium regarding word usage, have led me to believe that liberty would benefit from our attack on the concept of the word "law". Radical statements such as "An acorn grows into an oak, not into a tulip or an elephant. It's not just a good idea, it's the law" with the accurate implication that words that politicians have printed are just words that politicians have printed, are a beginning. Happy Law Day.

Free private colleges from the feds

WEST MILFORD ARGUS
March 3, 1985

Private colleges and universities should be left alone to do their thing, without interference from government, because they are providing society with something the government cannot offer - free, independent, self-sufficient, unregulated higher education.

Proceeding on that premise, we sympathize with the situation of Grove City College in northwestern Pennsylvania. Its century-old tree-shaded campus in Grove City has been the target of the awesome regulatory power of the federal government. For six years the college resisted in the courts against federal requirements that it file a statement of compliance with federal civil rights laws as a condition of receiving federal aid.

The college didn't want any aid, but some of its students received federal loans and grants. That brought the college under the tent of federal regulation, the U.S. Supreme Court finally decided last year.

There was no suggestion that the college was guilty of discrimination. The college doesn't want to discriminate; it simply wants to go its own way, without government supervision and regulation. So it has now decided to advise its students not to accept any federal aid.

That is an unfortunate result of the recent fever to involve the federal govern-

ment in people's lives at every level - always, of course, for a good cause.

But the activists weren't happy about another aspect of the Supreme Court decision in the Grove City case. The court said federal law, if applied to the college, would bar discrimination only in the programs or activities receiving federal aid - not throughout the college.

Sen. Bob Dole, R-Kan., has a bill that would change the law to prohibit federal aid to any educational institution that practices discrimination on any basis - sex, age, race or physical handicap - in any of its programs. Fair enough. President Reagan is expected to support the Dole approach.

But there should be no federal regulation or requirement unless there has been a finding that discrimination is actually being practiced. No blizzard of federal forms and reports should be required of an innocent institution. The private colleges should remain as free as possible of the intrusive hand of the federal bureaucracy.

Government runs the huge public universities that turn out most of the college graduates in this country. It would do well to leave the private colleges alone to go their own way. Without them, our educational establishment would be poorer, less diverse, less innovative and less free.

Why should you promote *Disobedience Day, July 3rd?*

- ☐ To create a libertarian holiday that politicians can't corrupt.
- ☐ To educate yourself about such fundamentals as the ethics of retaliatory coercion, and such historical trends as the rise of political-economy statism during the decline of representationalism.
- ☐ To reach millions of Americans simultaneously with the perennial libertarian message that:
 - The Founders were victimless criminals! They smuggled for profit, patriotically grew marijuana, evaded the draft, and refused to pay taxes.
 - America was founded on revolt against such "foreign" compulsory goods as forced salvation by state churches, and forced "charity" through taxation without representation.
 - America today is tyrannized by domestic versions of these ancient evils. Conservatives "save" consenting adults from gambling, dope, and sex with victimless crime laws. While liberals plunder private property with taxation and monetary inflation to subsidize their welfare state.

For further information, contact: A.C.L.F./
P.O. Box 4394/Santa Barbara, CA 93140/(805) 965-2741.
Please send a contribution!



NJ LIBERTARIAN

NJLP STEERING COMMITTEE

P.O. Box 448
Morganville, NJ 07751

State Chair:	Dan Maiullo	201/751-2824
Vice-Chair:	Steve Friedlander	201/874-8492
Secretary:	Len Flynn	201/591-1328
Treasurer:	Rick Koegberg	201/249-7649

Editor: Ginny Flynn

Members-at-Large:	Ken Kaplan	201/625-2623
	Stuart Swirsky	201/431-4491
	Chris White	201/659-8088

201/591-1328

ADVERTISING RATES

Full Page	\$25
Half Page	\$13
Quarter Page	\$7
Eighth Page	\$4
Business Card	\$3
Inserts	\$10/sheet

These rates only apply to ads submitted camera-ready. Extra will be charged for any necessary extra preparation.

Material must be received by the 23rd of the month to be in the following issue.

STATE COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVES

Bergen County	Richard Duprey	201/445-6098
Camden/Gloucester	Jerry Zeldin	609/783-4306
Hudson County	Don Meinshausen	201/659-6786
Hunterdon County	Joe Kerr	609/397-8119
Monmouth County	Pat Fallon	201/280-8493
Morris County	Nick Polombo	201/263-1997
Somerset/Middlesex	Steve Friedlander	201/874-8492

UN-TAX US!

NEW JERSEY LIBERTARIAN PARTY

MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

Name: _____ NJLP Dues _____ Membership Category _____
Address: _____ ☐ \$250.00 Lifetime Sustaining
City/State: _____ Zip: _____ ☐ \$150.00 Lifetime
☐ \$35.00 Sustaining
Telephone: (h) () (b) () ☐ \$15.00 Regular
☐ \$5.00 Student (School)
Occupation: _____
☐ I am eligible to vote in _____ County
☐ I am registered to vote in NJ
☐ Please do not include my name if the NJLP list is rented to other Libertarian organizations
I hereby certify that I do not believe in or advocate the initiation of force as a means of achieving political or social goals
Signature _____ Date _____
(Signature indicating acceptance of above statement required for membership)

A subscription to the members newsletter the NJ Libertarian is included with the above dues
☐ Monthly pledge for each of the next 12 months
☐ \$100 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$25 ☐ \$10 ☐ \$5 ☐ Other
☐ \$15 Libertarian National Committee 1 year dues
☐ NJ Libertarian Subscription only \$10
☐ I am not the first member at this mailing address and do not wish to receive a copy of the newsletter. (\$10 may be deducted from Regular membership dues only)

Make checks payable to: NJ Libertarian Party
Send To: P.O. BOX 56, TENNENT, NJ 07763

New Jersey Libertarian Party
P.O. Box 56
Tennent, NJ 07763

TO:

**VOTE
LIBERTARIAN**