



New Jersey Libertarian

Volume XLVIII, Issue 2

March 2023

Convention Announcement

By: James Ripley, Convention Planning Committee

We are excited to announce that the annual NJLP State Party Convention is just around the corner! This year's convention promises to be a momentous occasion, as we gather to discuss and strategize ways to advance our party's principles of individual liberty, free markets, and limited government.

The convention will take place on March 25th and 26th, 2023 at the Hightstown Engine Company #1 in Hightstown, NJ. We have a Freedom Everything lineup of speakers and events planned for both days.

In addition to the Saturday lineup during business, we will have a variety of other activities, including panel discussions, workshops, and presentations by our esteemed members and supporters. We will also be electing new officers for the state party, so this is your chance to get involved and make a difference.

Of course, we will also have plenty of time for networking and socializing with fellow libertarians from around the state. We believe that it is important to foster a sense of community and camaraderie among our members, and this convention provides the perfect opportunity to do so.

Registration for the convention is now open, and we encourage all members and supporters of the NJLP to attend.

To register for the convention and to find out more about the event, please visit our website at njlp.org/convention.

We look forward to seeing you at the NJLP State Party Convention 2023 and working together to advance the cause of liberty in the great state of New Jersey!

In This Issue

.....	
Convention Announcement.....	1
Platform Committee Report	2
Bylaws Committee Report	4
Rage Against War	5
What Values are Worth Fighting For?	7
Youth Outreach Committee Formed	7
OPRAMachine Court Case	8
Convention Registration Form	9

Platform Committee Recommendations

By: NJLP Platform Committee

The 2022-2023 Platform Committee is proud to submit to our delegates the following proposals for consideration during our Convention. Underlined text indicates addition. Strike through a deletion.

Proposal 1 Update Section I, Paragraph 7 Discrimination, add to end of last paragraph of Transition section as follows.

We oppose any government-directed discrimination based on vaccination status.

Rationale: Proof of vaccination" was briefly made a requirement for assorted live activities in 2021. We should put on the record our opposition to this practice as abusive.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 8 to 0.

Proposal 2: Update Section I Paragraph 6, Freedom of Communication, last sentence of Transition section as follows.

We deplore any government efforts to coerce the news media or social media to advance State policy. ~~in the name of stopping "bias" or ensuring "fairness."~~

Rationale: This proposal addresses some of the current abuses in social media.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 7 to 0.

Proposal 3 Section I Paragraph 2 (The Right to Property).

Change entire transition section (stricken text indicates deletion, underlined text addition):

Transition: We advocate ~~the abolition of the Pinelands and Highlands Commissions, for~~ the creation of private property rights to control pollution, and the divestiture liquidation of government-held property in New Jersey through restoration to rightful owners, sale, or homesteading. The State must stop depriving citizens of the value of their property through regulations such as the Highlands Water Protection and Planning Act or Pinelands Protection Act without due process or adequate market-based restitution.

We demand that the state and municipalities stop the practice of home equity theft, in which a government seizes property worth more than the taxes and fees owed by the property owner. We call on municipalities to cease charging predatory interest rates on unpaid taxes and fees.

Rationale: The current language only names these two commissions. There are other commissions that do the same thing. The new language is more specific as to why these acts are harmful to the private property rights without limiting it just to two commissions. “Divestiture” seemed like a better word to use. In NJ [there have been cases](#) where municipalities have seized property for small tax and fee arrears and have kept the entire proceeds of the sale.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 7 to 0, except the last sentence concerning interest rates was recommended 6 to 1.

Proposal 4 Section I, Paragraph 6 (Education).

Add the following paragraph after the first paragraph of the transition Section:

We call for the repeal of non-local legislative and administrative mandates on educational curricula. We call for the return of educational choices to parents and students, who should be given the option to participate in curriculum choices in association with educational professionals, and the ability to opt out of curricula mandated by the NJ Department of Education Office of Standards.

Rationale: The NJ legislature continues to impose mandates on public and private school systems often based on the demands of special interest groups. These mandates take away educational flexibility from educators, students, and parents.



These mandates often involve controversial topics including sex education, religion, selected history, and military service and recruitment. Educational curriculum choices should come from students and parents, not from government bureaucrats.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 6 to 0.

Proposal 5 Section I Paragraph 2 (The Right to Property).

Change the following sentence in the Solution section as follows:

We demand an end to the taxation of real property, which actually renders the state the quasi-owner of all lands and forces individuals to rent their homes and places of business from the state.

Rationale: this sentence as written is not true. Adding the words “quasi” makes it clearer that it is a comparison. The use of “actually” is imprecise and doesn’t add to the plank.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 6 to 0.

Proposal 6 Update Section I Paragraph 11, Juries Transition section as follows:

Transition: We oppose the current practice of forced jury duty and favor all-volunteer juries. We hold that Article I Section 6 of the New Jersey

Constitution guarantees the right of jury nullification. Informing the public and prospective jurors about jury nullification should not be deemed jury tampering; rather, it is a matter of free speech, and shall not be abridged. We call on the Legislature to codify into law a requirement to inform juries about their right to determine the law and the facts.

Rationale: It is standard for judges to not allow jurors to be told their full rights and powers. In the recent past activists have been detained and charged at court houses simply for informing those entering a courthouse that jurors have this power.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 6 to 0.

Proposal 7 Update Section I Paragraph 13, Government Secrecy as follows.

Issue: Government withholds from the public information that the people should have. Unelected government bureaucrats are inappropriately over classifying information, preventing proper oversight by our elected officials and the public.

Principle: The people have a right to know what their government is doing. Disclosure of government's secret misdeeds by journalists and whistleblowers is commendable and is a service to society.

Solution: ~~It should always be a defense against prosecution for violating government secrecy whenever the information divulged shows that government agents have violated the law or abused their power.~~ Government secrets should be minimized. Disclosure of such secrets should be decriminalized, except when such disclosure causes a clear and present danger to the country or its citizens.

Transition: We support the public policy behind the Open Public Records Act (NJSA 47:1A-1) and the Right to Know Law (NJSA 10:4-6). We note, however, that in actual practice, some individuals in government are reluctant to comply with these laws. We believe that those individuals should be held personally liable for attorney's fees and costs incurred in enforcing the law. We oppose the encryption or scrambling of public safety radio communications to impede oversight by the public. We oppose any laws or regulations prohibiting or controlling the audio and/or video recording of public officials performing their jobs in public. It should always be a defense against prosecution for violating government secrecy whenever the

information divulged shows that government agents have violated the law or abused their power. We call for codifying legal protections for journalists for such disclosures.

Rationale: First sentence of solution is not really a solution-level argument. There are plenty of current high-profile cases where government uses excessive secrecy to prosecute politicians and journalists. Assange, Petraeus, Clinton server, Trump, Biden .. Enough. Both Dem and Rep are busy finger-pointing at each other, who's more at fault with handling classified info. We should oppose this as a matter of principle.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 6 to 0.

Proposal 8 Update Section I, Paragraph 13, Government Secrecy as follows.

Change title to: Government Secrecy and Privilege

Add to end of issue:

Government creates extra privileges and protections for its own.

Add to end of Principle:

Government employees as private citizens should be subject to the same laws and practices as other citizens. Granting privileges to government employees is inherently corrupt, violates the equal application of laws, and reduces incentives to improve laws for the general population.

Add to end of Transition:

We oppose Daniel's Law, which privileges government employees by providing them with an extra privacy shield, and thus diminishes the power that the Open Public Records Act provides to citizens.

Rationale: Daniel's law was adopted in 2022 to protect certain former government employees (judges, police) from general trade in personal information. On second thought, split this proposal from Prop 12, and added the upper sections. In this case articulating this principle may be more important than oppose this specific law itself. References: Daniel's law FAQ here danielslaw.nj.gov/FAQs.aspx

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 4 to 1, with 1 abstention. Vote against noted that they would support without the inclusion of Daniel's Law.

Proposal 9 Section III Paragraph 4, Resource use. Update last sentence of the Transition section as follows:

We call for the abolition of the New Jersey Parks Service. on the New Jersey Park Service to adopt policies that make more use of public-private partnerships, allowing private operators to manage and maintain our parks until such time as the parks can be transferred to private ownership. Such policies allow greater freedom and flexibility while requiring accountability.

Rationale: There is no such thing as the “New Jersey Parks Service”. While the abolition of the NJ Park Service would be a great move, I don’t think of it is a “transition” and is too radical for the transition section. Instead, I think it would be better to phase out its management of lands and instead putting it into more capable and responsible hands. This approach is already used by the U.S. Forest Service manages in maintaining and managing more than 1,000 of its campgrounds. The casual reader who sees just getting rid of the park service makes it sound like we are against land conservation. That is not the case - rather we want a larger role for private property owners and private land trusts as a more just and effective method of having open space.

The Platform Committee recommends this proposal 3 to 1, 3 abstentions.

Bylaws Committee Recommendations

By: NJLP Bylaws Committee

The 2022-2023 Bylaws Committee is proud to submit to our delegates the following proposals for consideration during our Convention.

Proposal 1: to permit Platform amendments at General Meetings

In 6.b.1 strike "amend", so it reads "to adopt or discard the NJLP Platform;"

Modify 13.c A quorum for any other General Meeting shall be 15 NJLP members. However, an increased quorum of at least 15% of current membership shall be required to amend the Platform at General Meetings other than the annual Convention.

Rationale: This proposal aims to provide more frequent improvement and revive members’ interest in the Platform. We treat platform changes like bylaws changes, as editing and administrative chores, when it should be used to generate and support members’ interest.

Proposal 2: add Business Rule Item 4a

The NJLP Blueprint for Change shall consist of the Statement of Principles and the Transition section

of each Platform plank. The Platform Committee shall have the power to make minor editing changes that do not alter the meaning of each section but are necessitated by the removal of these paragraphs from their 4 paragraph context and reassembly into a separate document.

Proposal 3: Modify Elections of Delegates

Update Convention Rules 3. Election Procedure for Filling Identical Positions (Trustees, Delegates to National Convention, for example) as follows:

~~3b. On a given ballot, a member shall cast one vote for any number of candidates up to the total number left to be elected.~~

Substitute new Convention Rules § 3.b as follows:

1. Selected top Officers of the Party (Chair, Trustees, and regional Chairs) shall be appointed as Delegates to National Convention upon their election at the NJLP Convention.

2. 4 Delegate slots shall be allocated based on specific performance metrics. These metrics shall be listed in the business rule and quantifiable. The 4 categories for the next year shall be selected by members at the NJLP Convention in the previous year.

The State Board shall determine the best performer in each category prior to the NJLP Convention. The decision of the State Board can be appealed to the members at the Convention.

3. In case some of the slots reserved for Officers or Top Performers remain unfilled or redundant, the remaining slots shall revert to the general pool.

4. The rest of Delegates shall be elected by a ballot at the Convention. Each member shall have 7 tokens to cast for Delegates.

Rationale: Make delegate elections competitive. Another measure discussed would be a delegate pre-Convention questionnaire.

Add NEW Business Rule 12.a Performance Awards for Delegates to National Convention.

The best performer in these categories may be awarded a slot as a Delegate to National Convention, according to Convention rule 3.b.2. The State Board shall develop a procedure for tracking and evaluating these contests. The capturing of metrics that will be analyzed to determine these must be known within 60 days

following the Convention and cannot be changed except at a General Meeting. Competitors are responsible for documenting/substantiating their claims.

1. The top petitioner. Based on the most petition signatures collected for candidates in the prior year.

2. The top federal candidate. Among the federal congressional and senate candidates running in the previous federal cycle two years prior. Based on the vote share, rounded to 0.1.

3. The top state candidate. Among the state senate, governor and assembly candidates in the prior year. Based on the vote share, rounded to 0.1.

4. The top local candidate. In the prior year. Based on the vote share, rounded to 0.1.

5. The top fundraiser. Based on the fundraising totals in the prior year.

6. Top individual donor. Based on donations contributions (excluding member dues or event fees paid).

7. Top recruiter. Based on the number of new joins (or lapsed member renewals expired greater than one year).

Rationale: This business rule is in support of Convention Rule 3.b.2.

Rage Against the War Machine: I Was Psyched, Now I'm Urgent

By: Christopher Fox

On February 19th, I took my son to the Rage Against the War Machine Rally. This is a follow up to a previous essay explaining why I was psyched about the idea that people from different political philosophies can coalesce to fight for one cause and the opportunity to hear many speakers I admire present their cases for peace. I was not disappointed.

But I did not go there for entertainment. I did not bring my son to Washington DC to see the sights or gain a better sense of the greatness of America. No. To the contrary, we came to Washington DC to protest.

The day started with making connections to other libertarians, some we knew and others we met for the first time. The Libertarian party of Oregon had a

tent set up giving away some key literature. These guys were awesome. Bastiat's *The Law* and none other than the book eerily published in 1984, *The Butter War* by Dr. Seuss. I read that to my family this evening and with the Ukraine war as a mental backdrop and the ending of the book can be construed as this ***exact point in history***. I highly recommend it.

There was recognition and gratitude of all the parties involved. Even the COMMUNIST PARTY who had a table set up. I was scratching my head but if they want to join us to end the possibility of a nuclear holocaust, I welcome them. Maybe later, we can sit down and discuss all the ways they are wrong but for now, you are my brothers and sisters. And that is the biggest takeaway for me. We all are evolving. We all are discovering. And we can all help each other reach an understanding. We are all trying to get to the restaurant. We just can't agree on the best route. The speakers really drove this home in many instances. Some speakers were stronger than others and some of the messaging went to their respective bases, but all of the messages were to promote negotiating a peaceful end to the war in the Ukraine.



Jimmy Dore - Kind of a lefty but very anti-establishment ended his speech with a unifying message reciting Fredrick Douglas:

"I would unite with anybody to do right and with nobody to do wrong."

Then he also said a different kind of unifying message.

"If everybody on the Right would just realize not everybody on the left loves Nancy Pelosi and Chuck Schumer; we just want to end the wars and

have healthcare that doesn't bankrupt us, that would go a long way. And if everyone on the left would realize that not everyone on the right is a white supremacist, Trumper, gun nuts. They're just f*@king gun nuts."

Either you get it or you don't.

Dr. Ron Paul was the last speaker spreading the word about voluntaryism. I get the sense that while Dr. Paul has spoken in front of 100s of thousands of people over the years, few have been as politically diverse as this one. I can imagine socialists and Green party folks hearing for the first time that libertarians are about voluntaryism. It's such an obvious concept that most folks can get behind. And in that simple word, perhaps, one more thread connecting disparate groups.

After the chilling video message recorded by Pink Floyd's Roger Waters concluding in John Lennon's Give Peace a Chance, we began our march to the White House. My son Jackson and I were close to the front which was kind of quiet compared to the groups with megaphones behind us a way back. As we stomped up the DC streets a quiet older sounding mousy voice started saying "No War ... No War ... No War". She would not stop. Her persistence was compelling. I found myself repeating her call in an off cadence. My son and several others joined in. We were not the quiet part of the march anymore.

We made our way to the White House where a make-shift PA was quickly set up and more people spoke about how they overcame the obstacles of the state through activism and creative solutions. There is a lot we can learn from each other.

It's been a day since the event, giving me some time to reflect on the experience but also the overall message. What is the US doing? It is clear we are marching towards a war. Is it the hubris, the fatal conceit of those in power that they can control what is to come if they push too far? Or have they pushed too far already?

An anti-war coalition has begun. We did it. It has started. Unfortunately, I do not feel like we have the time to nurture it. Is it too little too late? The US and NATO are sending more tanks, howitzers, and jets to Ukraine. NATO is further antagonizing Russia as it always has. How much longer before a frustrated leader pushes the button? There is an urgency for



peace. Now more than ever. But most Americans don't know this. Unless people actively seek out the truth, they will always be at the mercy of lies.

90 SECONDS - WAKE UP!!!

*"2023 Doomsday Clock Announcement
January 24, 2023*

This year, the Science and Security Board of the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists moves the hands of the Doomsday Clock forward, largely (though not exclusively) because of the mounting dangers of the war in Ukraine. The Clock now stands at 90 seconds to midnight—the closest to global catastrophe it has ever been."

I have not seen this in the news. Have you? I have not seen this anywhere! We as a nation and world are talking so much about who provoked what that we are missing the big picture. Without a peace treaty it does not matter what anyone thinks about strategic alliances, NATO, and the MIC. It doesn't matter what we think about CRT in schools and how old someone can be before they are allowed to change their gender if we are all permanent shadows. It doesn't matter if a hundred billion in "aid" to Ukraine could be a good long-term investment for America in the long term as I have heard. There will be nowhere to run or hide. Everyone needs to rally for a peace treaty between Ukraine and Russia and we need it now. We need as many voices as

possible screaming at the tops of their lungs and we need them now. Like Horton, not Scott but the one who heard a who in Dr. Seuss's other book, we need all of the people to raise their voices as loud as possible so that someone who can stop the certain destruction of the world will hear. It is a matter of survival.

What Values Are Worth Fighting For?

By: G.M.

What values are worth fighting for? Democracy? Free elections? The right to live in a peaceful environment? The protection of innocent peoples? The right to take power by force? The right to start a war? The protection of dictators against innocent people?

Is helping Ukraine supporting war? Is helping Putin supporting war?

Is the West trying to impose a change of regime in Ukraine? Is the West helping to preserve the right of innocent peaceful citizens to choose their future, to save their democracy?

Ukraine cannot defend itself against the second military in the world, against a country 5 times bigger, against a country that has the largest number of nuclear heads in the world.

No helping Ukraine is helping Putin. Not helping Ukraine is supporting aggression from dictators against democracies. Not helping Ukraine is supporting war.

If we let Putin win, will he stop in Donbass and Crimea? If Putin wins, why won't he continue to recreate a super Soviet Union? If Putin wins, why won't he continue to invade the Baltic States, Poland, East Germany, Europe? Don't we know all the response to these questions?

The USA has spent trillions during decades to try to protect itself from Russia and its colonies. And now, just for a few billions, the Russian military has been fundamentally weakened. Its stockpile of weapons has disappeared. An existential threat to the USA will go from military superpower to a country in disarray. On a strictly financial point of view, isn't this the best return on investment of any government spending?

Putin is not a strong leader. Putin is the leader of the Russian mafia that has used corruption and murder to gain power. Putin is controlling the media and brainwashing its population. Can we let corruption and murder control people?

Is helping Ukraine supporting war? Is helping Putin supporting war?

What values are we fighting for?

A puzzled member.

Young NJLP Members Form Youth Outreach Committee

By: Mike Manieri

In February, the NJLP Youth Outreach Committee held its first and second meetings. In the near future, this not-yet-official committee plans to carry out a few initiatives: speaking at college liberty organization chapters (eg. YAL, Students for Liberty), starting the #Don'tTreadonTikTok marketing campaign, and reaching out to currently inactive student members. Harvard's 2022 Youth poll found that a majority (56%) of young Americans believe that "politics today are no longer able to meet the challenges our country is facing." Given that more and more young Americans are feeling disenfranchised by American politics,

NEW JERSEY LIBERTARIAN PARTY

NJLP STATE CONVENTION 2023

Natural Rights Seminar
Headlining Speaker

📅 Saturday, 25th March, 2023
🕒 7:30PM - 10:00PM

100% IN PERSON Hightstown Engine Company #1
140 N. Main Street
Hightstown, NJ 08520

Register now at :
njlp.org/convention

MAJ TOURE
MAJ TOURE
MAJ TOURE

we have a prime opportunity to increase Libertarian support by reaching out to this demographic.

Thousands of college students are active members of their college's Young Americans for Liberty chapter. Unfortunately, many of these activists who participate in politics after college choose to support the GOP. By speaking to YAL chapters about the LP and the party's importance, we can convert already politically active libertarians into NJLP members. Lastly, many of our current youth members are not active in the party. This committee will be spearheading efforts to activate current NJLP student members.

NJLP State Board

Chair, Nikhil Sureshkumarchair@njlp.org
Vice-Chair, Francine Abel vicechair@njlp.org
Treasurer, Carole Acton..... treasurer@njlp.org
Secretary, James Ripley secretary@njlp.org
VP Public Relations, Mike Manieri...vppublicrelations@njlp.org
VP Political Affairs, Nathan Cole..vppoliticalaffairs@njlp.org
VP Membership, Joe Baratelli.....vpmembership@njlp.org
VP Programs, Lynn Genrich vpprograms@njlp.org
North Representative, Matthew Struck ... southrep@njlp.org
Central Representative, Dan O'Neill centralrep@njlp.org
South Representative, Nick Magner southrep@njlp.org

Other Party Leadership

North Chair, Dave Willard northchair@njlp.org
Central Chair, Mark Kapengut.....centralchair@njlp.org
South Chair (acting) Max Heatter.....southchair@njlp.org

Court Mulls Whether Section 230 Immunizes OPRAMachine from Liability

By: John Paff, NJLP Open Government Advocacy Project

On Friday, March 3, 2023 at 9 a.m, [newly appointed](#) New Jersey Superior Court Judge Dean R. Marcolongo will hear argument on OPRAMachine's motion to dismiss a lawsuit that claims that it is liable for allowing the unredacted social security numbers of eighty-eight people to remain on its website for fifty-three days.

One of the issues Judge Marcolongo will rule upon is whether OPRAMachine, like Facebook, discussion forums, listservs and similar platforms, is immune from liability under [Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act](#) for merely distributing

content that was authored by someone else. The operative language of Section 230 is "No provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider." Marcolongo's ruling could have a profound effect on OPRAMachine's future.

[OPRAMachine.com](#) is a free website through which people can make [Open Public Records Act \(OPRA\)](#) requests to New Jersey government agencies. Both the OPRA requests and their responses are published on the internet and archived in perpetuity which allows them to be read by anyone. For more information on the site, see an article on the site's blog titled "[Debunking 5 common misconceptions about OPRAMachine.](#)" (*Disclosure: I am a frequent user of OPRAMachine.*)

According to [the lawsuit](#), which was filed on November 16, 2022 in Cape May County (Andrew Moon, et al. v. City of North Wildwood, et al, Docket No. CPM-L-443-22), an individual named Greg Walsh used OPRAMachine on January 8, 2022 to request the fire department's "recertification civil service list" from the City of North Wildwood. Two days later, Scott Jett, North Wildwood's City Clerk, sent Walsh a document titled "Certification of Eligible for Appointment" that contained "the names, addresses and unredacted social security number of 88 individuals," according to the lawsuit. Given how OPRAMachine works, the list was published on the internet and was "accessible to the public for 53 days," according to the suit.

Plaintiffs Andrew Moon, Tyler Huck, and Ryan Ladd claim that the public disclosure of their social security numbers created "a serious and high risk of identity theft." They claim that the defendants "failed to maintain the privacy and security of the Plaintiffs' information, in violation of duties imposed by applicable federal and state laws" causing them to suffer "inconvenience, distress, injury to their constitutional rights to the privacy, increased risk of fraud, identity theft, and ascertainable financial harm, including costs of monitoring their credit to detect incidences of identity theft."

Moon, Huck and Ladd are asking the court to certify their suit as a class action because, they claim, the number of other people who have suffered public disclosure of personal identifiers, such as social security numbers, are "so numerous that joinder of individual claims is impracticable."

The defendants in their lawsuit are North Wildwood, Jett, OPRAMachine, Insight Intelligence, LLC (which owns OPRAMachine) and Gavin Rozzi, the registered agent for Insight Intelligence, LLC. Moon, Huck and Ladd claim that all the defendants violated the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act and claim that North Wildwood and Jett are liable to them under the New Jersey Civil Rights Act. They seek monetary damages and attorney fees as well as injunctions that would require North Wildwood and OPRAMachine to “strengthen their data security systems” and to “engage third-party auditors and internal personnel to conduct security testing.”

On January 19, 2023, Insight, OPRAMachine and Rozzi filed a [motion to dismiss](#) the claims made against them. Their main argument is that Section 230 protects “interactive computer service providers” from liability for content that is published on their sites by others. They claim that North Wildwood and Jett are the parties that uploaded the civil service list, not them. They claim that their “only role in this matter is the operation and ownership of the website.” They also claim that Moon, Huck and Ladd have “not identified any specific actions that [OPRAMachine has] taken in the course of running a public records service that rise to a [Consumer Fraud Act] violation.”

Rozzi asserts that he never “act[ed] personally with the plaintiffs,” that he “formed Insight Intelligence, LLC to avoid personal liability” and that the plaintiffs named him personally as a defendant “to harass and pressure” him. His brief notes that courts are not to “pierce the corporate veil” absent extraordinary reasons that he says are not present in this case.

In their [opposition to the dismissal motion](#), which was filed on February 22, 2023, Moon, Huck and Ladd claim that Section 230 does not confer immunity because OPRAMachine “is not a mere forum for speech but is responsible for the creation and development of the information posted.” They also argue that Insight, OPRAMachine and Rozzi are liable to them due to a 2005 amendment to the Consumer Fraud Act that specifically made the disclosure of social security numbers a form of consumer fraud.

Moon, Huck and Ladd are represented in the suit by Oliver T. Barry and Erika Lezama-Simonson, both of the Wildwood law firm of Barry, Corrado & Grassi, P.C. Insight, OPRAMachine and Rozzi are represented by Hackettstown attorney William N. Sosis. North Wildwood and Jett are represented by Gregory T. Alvarez and Luke P. Breslin, both of the Berkely Heights firm of Jackson Lewis, P.C.



NJLP Convention Form Check here to use address from mailing label on reverse

Name: _____

Address: _____

Town: _____ State: _____ ZIP: _____

Email Contact: _____ Phone Contact: _____

Platinum All-in Liberty Defender (All eats and entertainment Friday through Sunday) \$150 _____

Gold Raise it 4.20! Prosperity Now (All eats and entertainment Saturday through Sunday) \$125 _____

\$150 Platinum All-in Liberty Defender (All eats and entertainment Friday through Sunday. \$150 _____

Silver Default on the Debt End the Fed (Eats and entertainment associated with Business Saturday and Sunday, excludes Saturday evening dinner and follow-on entertainment) \$60 _____

A la Carte Add Ons

Friday Comedy Night \$25 _____

Saturday Lunch \$35 _____

Saturday dinner and Entertainment \$100 _____

Sunday Breakfast \$25 _____

Drink Wristband 2 for \$10, 5 for \$25, 10 for \$50 _____

Business meeting (no meals) is free. Additional packages and online registration are at njlp.org/convention. Reserve your place by sending payment to: NJLP Convention, P.O. Box 56, Tennent, NJ 07763



New Jersey Libertarian Party - - - - -

Are you a current member? **Please consider joining.**

I'd like to join the NJ Libertarian Party! I have checked the level at which I want to join and have enclosed the corresponding dues. I certify that I do not believe in or advocate the initiation of force to achieve social or political goals.

(Signature required for membership only) X _____

Check here to use address from mailing label

Name: _____

Street Address: _____

City: _____ St: _____ Zip: _____

Email Address: _____

\$500 Life Membership

\$100 Patron Membership

\$50 Sustaining Membership

\$35 Supporting Membership

\$20 Regular Membership

Newsletter subscription is included with membership. Check here to only receive the newsletter on line.

Make checks payable to NJLP and send to NJLP, PO Box 56, Tennent, NJ 07763

You can also renew on-line at <http://njlp.org/joinus>



New Jersey Libertarian Party

P.O. Box 56

Tennent, New Jersey 07763

njlp.org